

Roy F. Weston, Inc.
Federal Programs Division
Suite 201
1090 King Georges Post Road
Edison, New Jersey 08837-3703
908-225-6116 • Fax 908-225-7037



SUPERFUND TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT AND RESPONSE TEAM EPA CONTRACT 68-W5-0019

START-02-F-01530

TRANSMITTAL MEMO

To:

Jeff Bechtel, TM

Removal Action Branch, U.S. EPA Region II

From:

Adly A. Michael, Data Reviewer

START Region II

Subject:

Color Technology Site

Date:

17 December 1997

The purpose of this memo is to transmit the following information:

• Data validation results for the following parameters:

Metals

11 samples

Matrices and Number of Samples:

) Wipes

11 samples

• Sampling date: 19 September 1997

The final data assessment narrative and original analytical data package are attached.

cc:

START PM

Michael Mahnkopf

START FILE TDD #:

02-97-05-0021

Analytical TDD #:

02-97-09-0013

PCS #:

2117

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WIEN/IOIGH (DCI)	•			
DATE:	<u>17 December 1997</u>			
то:	Jeff Bechtel, TM Removal Action Branch,	USEPA Regi	ion <u>II</u>	
FROM:	Adly A. Michael START Data Review Te	am		
SUBJECT:	QA/QC Compliance Rev	iew Summary		
	ity control and performance mapared to EPA standards for cated as applicable:			
	a Completeness ibration	Blanks Calibration	n Check Standar	rds
Any statistical me	asures used to support the foll	owing conclus	ion.	en e
Summary	of Analyses Results			
	I _VOA_	II BNA	III _Metals	IV Others
Acceptable as Sub Acceptable with C Unacceptable, Ac Unacceptable	Comments		<u>X</u>	
Data Reviewed by	: Adly Mics	aef	Date: 12	117/97
Approved By:	In love		Date:/1/	117/92
Area Code/Phone	No.: (732) 225-6116	-		

NARRATIVE

CASE No. <u>2117</u>

SITE NAME:	Color Technology Site
Laboratory Name:	Industrial Ccorrosion Management (ICM)
INTRODUCTION:	
	tion of this Case consisted of 11 samples collected on 9/19/97. The
laboratory reported _	No problem(s) with the receipt of these samples.
The laboratory repor	ted No problems with the analyses of the samples.

The evaluator has commented on the criteria specified under each fraction heading. All criteria have been assessed, but no discussion is given where the evaluator has determined that criteria were adequately performed or require no comment. Details relevant to these comments are given on the following forms.

IV. Inorganic:

- Y Data Summary/Tablulated Results
- Y Initial and Continuing Calibration
- Y_ Blanks
- Y ICP Interference Check
- Y Spike Sample Recovery
- Y Duplicates
- _Y_ Detection Limits
- NA Standard Addition Results
- Y ICP Serial Dilutions
- Y ICP Linear Ranges
- Y ICP Interelement Correction Factors
- Y Holding Times
- Y Chain of Custody
- Y Raw Data
- Y Quantitation, Conversions, Dilutions, etc.

Comments:

1. Refer to Tabulated Results.

Page 1 of 5

Title: Evaluation of Inorganic Data for the

Contract Laboratory Program

Appendix A.2: Data Assessment Narrative

Date: Jan. 1992

Number: HW-2

Revision: 11

Case #: 2117

Site: Color Technology Site Matrix: Soil:

SDG#: CTS001

Industrial Corrosion Management, Inc.

Aqueous:

Lab:

Wipes: 11

Contractor: WESTON-START

Reviewer:

Adly A. Michael

A.2.1 Validation FlagsThe following flags have been applied in red by the data validator and

must be considered by the data user.

j_

This flag indicates the result qualified as estimated.

Red-Line-

A red-line drawn through a sample result indicates an unusable value. The red-lined data are known to contain significant errors based on

documented information and must not be used by the data user.

Fully Usable Data-

The results that do not carry "J" or a "redline" are fully usable.

Contractual Qualifiers-

The legend of contractual qualifiers applied by the laboratory on Form

I's is found on page B-20 of SOW ILM01.0.

A.2.2 The data assessment is provided below and on the attached sheets.

On 19 September 1997, USEPA Region II START sampling personnel collected eleven (11) wipe samples, including one field duplicate, and Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate, from the Color Technology site.

The wipe samples were analyzed by the Laboratory for Target Analyte List (TAL) metals following the Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) Statement of Work (SOW) number ILM04.0.

Page 2 of 5

Title: Evaluation of Inorganic Data for the

Contract Laboratory Program

Appendix A.2: Data Assessment Narrative

Date: Jan. 1992 Number: HW-2

Revision: 11

Client identification (ID) and laboratory ID numbers are as follows:

Client ID No.	Laboratory ID No.	MATRIX
BLANK	272630	WIPE
CTW01	272621	WIPE
CTW02	272622	WIPE
CTW03	272623	WIPE
CTW04	272624	WIPE
CTW05	272625	WIPE
CTW06	272626	WIPE
CTW07	272627	WIPE
CTW08	272628	WIPE
CTW09	272629	WIPE

¹⁾ Sample # CTW09 is a field duplicate of sample # CTW01.

The results presented in the data package are acceptable with the exceptions noted in the following data assessment narrative.

²⁾ Blank wipes were provided for MS/MSD.

Page 3 of 5

Title: Evaluation of Inorganic Data for the

Contract Laboratory Program

Appendix A.2: Data Assessment Narrative

Date: Jan. 1992

Number: HW-2

Revision: 11

CRDL STANDARD RECOVERY

The following analytes were qualified as estimated "J" or rejected "red-lined" in the associated samples due to Contract Required Detection Limit (CRDL) Standard Percent Recovery (%R) is outside the quality control limits and their concentration fell within the "affected range".

ANALYTE	% RECOVERY	AFFECTED RANGE	QUALIFIER	ASSOCIATED SAMPLES
Lead	120 - 150%	2.0 - 12 ug/L	"J"	Blank

FIELD DUPLICATION ANALYSIS

The following analytes were either qualified as estimated "J" or rejected "Red-lined" in the associated field duplicate samples because the Relative Percent Difference (RPD) or Difference (Diff.) between sample CTW01 and the corresponding field duplicate sample CTW09 was outside the specified criteria.

Analyte	RPD/Diff.	Qualifier	Associated Samples
Aluminum	Diff. > CRDL	1	CTW01 & CTW09
Cadmium	Diff. > CRDL	J	CTW01 & CTW09
Mercury	Diff. > CRDL	J	CTW01 & CTW09
Nickel	Diff. > CRDL	$oldsymbol{J}_{i}$, and the second second $oldsymbol{J}_{i}$	CTW01 & CTW09
Zinc	RPD > 50%	J	CTW01 & CTW09

ICP SERIAL DILUTION

The following positive TAL data > 10X IDL (or > MDL when the MDL is > 10X IDL) were either qualified as estimated "J" or rejected "R" because the serial dilution analysis was performed on an identified field blank.

Analyte	Control Limit	Qualifier	Associated Samples
Aluminum	454 ug/L	"J"	CTW02, CTW03, CTW04, CTW05, CTW06,
			CTW07 & CTW08.
Antimony	60 ug/L	"J"	CTW04, CTW05 & CTW06.
Barium	200 ug/L	"J"	CTW01, CTW02, CTW03, CTW05, CTW06 &
			CTW09.
Cadmium	6 ug/L	"J"	CTW02, CTW03, CTW05, CTW06 & CTW08.
Calcium	5000 ug/L	"J"	CTW02, CTW3 & CTW06.
Chromium	10 ug/L	"J"	CTW01, CTW02, CTW03, CTW04, CTW05,
			CTW06, CTW07, CTW08 & CTW09.
Copper	25 ug/L	"J"	CTW01, CTW02, CTW03, CTW04, CTW05,
	e fill		CTW06, CTW07, CTW8 & CTW09.

Page 4 of 5

Evaluation of Inorganic Data for the Title:

Contract Laboratory Program
Appendix A.2: Data Assessment Narrative

Date: Jan. 1992 Number: HW-2

Revision: 11

ICP SERIAL DILUTION (Cont'd)

Analyte	Control Limit	Qualifier	Associated Samples
Lead	20 ug/L	"J"	CTW01, CTW02, CTW03, CTW04, CTW05,
,			CTW06, CTW07, CTW08 & CTW09.
Manganese	15 ug/L	" J"	CTW01, CTW02, CTW03, CTW04, CTW05,
			CTW06, CTW07, CTW08 & CTW09.
Nickel	40 ug/L	"J"	CTW02 & CTW03.
Sodium	5000 ug/L	"J"	CTW02 & CTW03.
Zinc	20 up/L	"J"	CTW02, CTW03, CTW04, CTW05, CTW06,
			CTW07 & CTW08.

Page 5 of 5

Title: Evaluation of Inorganic Data for the

Contract Laboratory Program -

Appendix A.2: Data Assessment Narrative

Date: Jan. 1992 Number: HW-2

Revision: 11

A:2.3 Contract Problem/Non-Compliance:

- 1. Due to the nature of the matrix, the laboratory used an identified field blank (blank wipe) for the "Laboratory Duplicate Analysis". Based on a professional judgement, the QC criteria for the results of this duplicate analysis were not used to qualify the associated data.
- 2. Due to the nature of the matrix, again, the laboratory used blank wipes for the analysis of Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate (MS/MSD). That analysis was accepted.
- 3. The laboratory did not adhere to the requirements for the ICP Serial Dilution Analysis, and performed this analysis on an identified Field Blank. Therefore, the criteria for the results were applied, and the associated data were qualified accordingly.

MMB/ESAT Reviewer:	Ady Nichael	<u>-</u>	12/11/97
	Signature /		Date:
Contractor Reviewer:			
	Signature	-	Date:
Verified by:			
	Signature		Date:

INDUSTRIAL CORROSION MANAGEMENT, INC. 1152 Route 10 Randolph, New Jersey 07869 201-584-0330

SDG # CTS001

Sample link: 272610 - 272631

NONCONFORMANCE SUMMARY

All external chains of custody are copies, the originals are filed in the organic portion of this data package. The internal chain of custody is a copy, the original is filed in the organic portion of this data package.

The inorganic portion of this data package has been separated, by matrix, into two reports. The first report consists of the soil samples (272610-272620) and the second consists of the wipe samples (272621-272631). Although the samples are of two different matrices, they all belong to the same SDG.

The wipe samples were prepped and analyzed according to the soil methodology

Due to software limitations, the results are being reported in ug/m^2 , instead of mg/cm^2 .

Aqueous levels were used for the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate, instead of soil levels.

The concentration reported by the ICP is derived during the ICP run by dividing the total weight of the digested wipe by the weight of the ICP portion of the wipe.

No other problems were encountered with the analysis of these samples.

REP No.:	HAIN	ノロ	CTTC	T	717.	ン ナテ	ייון		~ ~		1						
2117	CHAIN (- priq	ginal f	led -	ω.+√ ∫∫	l I	Œ	<u>ر'(</u>	JR 1014	Π	Mat		ox:No		Preserv	etive Box N	0.:
PO No.:		\bigwedge^{λ}	溪	他					•		I. Se		Water Water		1. HCI 2. HN03		
85146					roer:m	-					3. La 4. Ris				3. Ne2SC		
	SUPERFUND 1	KECEN KE	CONTRA	E553.		ND R	ESPO	ÑSE	TEA	M	5. Soi	I/Soci			4. H2SO: 5. Other (
		Phone: 9	C#-225-11	6 F1=	904- <u>77</u>	5-7037 					6. Oil 7. Wa	æe			6. Ice Oni	l y	
Send verbai and writt	ten resuits to:		Roy F.	West	E. Inc	., US	EPA	Reg	ion I	7 (4 D==		becith)		See C		_
·			Attentio	11, 10	90 Kir	e Ga		P~	+ D~		• • • • •	, Ne	w Jers	ey 088	837-3703		-
	empie Collection	Samoie	Case Se	mpie	Semnic	l	34	YAN	LLY	3.33	<u> </u>	001111	mator				
<i>m</i>	M/DD/YY/Time	1	Mod-M C	,	·	YOA	AKE	-31	7034	TAU	CX DE	N C	OR IRE		. CT =	3 2	<u> </u>
J5-001 91	lial-	baxs	High-High	26-G	box (n)	12	7	2	14								
	19/97/0956	ノ	4	<u> -</u>	6	171	入	X	X	7				12	7261	O	
GS-002	1000			<u> </u>	4				1					1	,	5025	751
CTS-003	1015		+!												177	417	
CTS-004	1020	11	11									T	Ť	<u> </u>	3 42	617	-
CTS-905	1027						II	T	Ti	·		T	1		777	11/	-
CT5-006	1030					Ti	T	1	11	\dashv	+	+		1 0	XTA	617	 ./
075-007	1037					† †	††	$\dagger \dagger$	+	-	-	+-	1	1 0	×+4	615	
CT5-008	1036	71	111		1:	††	+	+	+	+	+	+	+-	1 (X+d	blb	_
C75-009	0950	11	111	1	1.	+	++	-	+	+	+	+	<u> </u>	10	x +d	blit	_
CTS-010	0756	11	111	\dashv	+	++	++	╁	++	1	1	1	 	(x 12	618	1
CTS-011		V	11	, 	++	1	-	-	11	+	+	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		l'td	69	
Comments: 2737CG				1	4	1	Y '		1					0	172	620	
<u> </u>	_			_											- 	2.6°C	
Person Assuming Responsib	numy for Sample:	,					'			- :	ž.		Time	- 1	Date (MM		
ample Number Reling	uished By.		Time	D	ute in	oceive.	<u> </u>						13	'	9/19	(9)	
All In	1 26L	1	152		1/01		a RÀS	7	\ll		4	1			- A	KOCY	
ample Number Relinqu	uished By:	7	Q	0	<u>. </u>			2		_/		5	/		AB		rei.
111 F		1	Time			CEIVE	i By:	_	û.	11 2	20	1 ~		a for Ch	suge of Cu	•	
mpie Number Reimon	rished By:	/	180	1/19	17.97		1	y	0	(<i>][</i>	11-1	Hy	1 F	ELE	IPT AT	-LAB	
Kamid	maned RA:		Time	Da	te R	==vec	Ву:				· · · · ·		1		ange of Cus		
									•	-	.*						rd.
by F. Weston, Inc. DERAL PROGRAMS	DIVISION						•			·	, -				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		188

14<u>1</u>4...

In Association with Resource Applications, Inc., R.E. Sarriera Associates, PRC F.

	JIA	KI DHIII DI	GIV OXI DILLEI		.*
Task/Site: /	OY 1	Echno!	rgy		
TDD #:		•	- PCS #: _21/	7	
Sampling Date:	11919	Z	Date Received:		
DCN #:			Lab: TCM	7	
Matrix:	#	# Samples:	Analysis:	TAL	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	DATA P	ACKAGE CH	IAIN OF CUSTODY		
RELINQUI	SHED BY:		RECEIVE	D BY:	
Signature:	Date:	Fraction:	Signature:	Date:	Fraction:
Quite hinter	7/1/12	In45mic	Ally Michael	11/12/97	Inorgan
			/ / /		
	Reviewer				•
Add	Micha Name	ref	12/17/97 Date		
	,			•	
2. Group	T 1/D	- D			
2. Group	Leader/Peer	r Keview			
	Name		Date		
		**************************************			•
	;·				
				* #	
3.	val (Group I	_eader/ATeam	Mgr.)		
<i>d</i>	Moune		11/hh		
- 8	Name		<u> </u>	 :	

Date